

# Role evaluation records for National Judicial Registrar and District Registrar roles in Queensland and Western Australia

[Rex Martinich](#) made this Freedom of Information request to [Federal Court of Australia](#)

This request has been **closed to new correspondence from the public body**. [Contact us](#) if you think it ought be re-opened.

**Follow**

1 follower

The request was **refused** by [Federal Court of Australia](#).

Rex Martinich **December 21, 2022**

**Delivered**

Dear Federal Court of Australia,

This is a request made for the purposes of the FOI Act.

I request access to:

1. The role evaluation records, prepared between 1 January 2017 and 31 December 2020, that show that the SES Band 1 classified National Judicial Registrar & District Registrar role in Queensland was, in light of the work value of the group of duties described in the work level standards and a proper job analysis, reclassified and allocated an Executive Level 2 classification for the purposes of rule 9 of the Public Service Classification Rules 2000.
2. The role evaluation records, prepared between 1 January 2017 and 31 December 2020, that show that the SES Band 1 classified National Judicial Registrar & District Registrar role in Western Australia was, in light of the work value of the group of duties described in the work level standards and a proper job analysis, reclassified and allocated an Executive Level 2 classification for the purposes of rule 9 of the Public Service Classification Rules 2000.

Yours faithfully,

Rex Martinich

External FOI, Federal Court of Australia **January 04, 2023**

OFFICIAL

Dear Mr Martinich

I acknowledge receipt of your request dated 21 December 2022 and communicated by email to **[email address]**, for access to documents under the Freedom of Information Act 1982 (Cth) (FOI Act).

Based on the Court's preliminary assessment of your FOI request, it has been determined that, at this stage, you are not liable to pay a charge. If that changes, the Court will inform you and will issue you with a notice of charge as required by the FOI Act.

Kind regards

FOI Officer  
Federal Court of Australia

**show quoted sections**

External FOI, Federal Court of Australia **January 20, 2023**

**1 Attachment**

2023 01 20 FOI Response Martinich.pdf  
204K **Download View as HTML**

OFFICIAL

Dear Mr Martinich

Please find attached correspondence from the Federal Court of Australia.

Kind regards,

FOI Officer  
Federal Court of Australia

**show quoted sections**

**Follow**

1 follower



**FEDERAL COURT OF AUSTRALIA  
PRINCIPAL REGISTRY**

HARRY GIBBS COMMONWEALTH LAW COURTS  
119 NORTH QUAY  
BRISBANE QLD 4000

20 January 2023

Mr Rex Martinich  
Right to Know

**By email:** [foi+request-9755-d21b7ffb@righttoknow.org.au](mailto:foi+request-9755-d21b7ffb@righttoknow.org.au)

Dear Mr Martinich,

**Request under the Freedom of Information Act**

I refer to your email to the Federal Court of Australia (**Court**) of 21 December 2022 requesting access to documents under the *Freedom of Information Act 1982* (Cth) (**FOI Act**). I have carefully read and considered your request, including the very specific and targeted parameters that you include.

**Authorised decision-maker**

I am authorised under section 23 of the FOI Act to make decisions on behalf of the Court in relation to requests made under the FOI Act.

**Decision**

I have decided, pursuant to subsection 24A(1) of the FOI Act, to refuse your request for access to documents as I am satisfied that all reasonable steps have been taken to find the documents you have requested, but the documents cannot be found or do not exist.

I have taken the following into account in making my decision:

- the terms of your request;
- the relevant provisions of the FOI Act and case law considering those provisions;
- the *Freedom of Information (Charges) Regulations 2019* (**Charges Regulations**); and
- the FOI Guidelines issued by the Office of the Australian Information Commissioner (**FOI Guidelines**).

**Reasons for Decision**

Subsection 24A(1) of the FOI Act provides:

- (1) *An agency or Minister may refuse a request for access to a document if:*
- (a) *all reasonable steps have been taken to find the document; and*

- (b) *the agency or Minister is satisfied that the document:*
- (i) *is in the agency's or Minister's possession but cannot be found; or*
  - (ii) *does not exist.*

Extensive searches were undertaken by staff of the Court to identify any documents falling within the scope of your request. These searches included consultations with senior staff in the Court's People and Culture, and National Operations teams, searches of the Court's human resources and recruitment shared drive and folders, searches of staff emails, and searches of the Court's electronic document, records management and information system.

As a result of the searches undertaken, no documents could be found that fell within the scope of your request. I am not aware of any other steps that could reasonably have been taken to identify the documents you have requested.

Having regard to the above, I am satisfied that all reasonable steps have been taken to find any documents within the ambit of your request, and that the documents cannot be found or do not exist. As there are no documents to provide you, I must refuse access to the documents requested under subsection 24A(1) of the FOI Act.

### **Charges**

You have not been charged for the processing of your request.

### **Your Review Rights**

If you are dissatisfied with my decision, you may apply for internal review or to the Information Commissioner for review of those decisions. I encourage you to seek internal review as a first step as it may provide a more rapid resolution of your concerns.

#### ***Internal review***

Under section 54 of the FOI Act, you may apply in writing to the Court for an internal review of my decision. The internal review application must be made within thirty (30) days of the date of this letter.

Where possible, please attach reasons as to why you believe review of the decision is necessary. The internal review will be carried out by another officer within thirty (30) days.

#### ***Information Commissioner review***

Under section 54L of the FOI Act, you may apply to the Australian Information Commissioner to review my decision. An application for review by the Information Commissioner must be made in writing within sixty (60) days of the date of this letter and be lodged in one of the following ways:

online: <https://forms.business.gov.au/aba/oaic/foi-review-/>

email: [enquiries@oaic.gov.au](mailto:enquiries@oaic.gov.au)

post: GPO Box 2999, Canberra ACT 2601

in person: Level 3, 175 Pitt Street, Sydney NSW

More information about the Information Commissioner review is available on the Office of the Australian Information Commissioner (OAIC) website at: <https://www.oaic.gov.au/freedom-of-information/reviews-and-complaints/information-commissioner-review/>.

## **Complaints**

If you are dissatisfied with the way the Court has handled your FOI request, you may complain to the Information Commissioner in writing. There is no fee for making a complaint. More information about making a complaint is available on the OAIC website, including a link to the online complaints form which the OAIC recommends using for complaints, at: <https://www.oaic.gov.au/freedom-of-information/reviews-and-complaints/make-an-foi-complaint>.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "B Henderson".

B Henderson  
**FOI Officer**